UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,901	03/30/2004	Berna Erol	015358-010000US	5028
	7590 05/15/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	TRAN, QUOC A		
EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS	5CO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/813,901	EROL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Quoc A. Tran	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Action 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	FIRST REPLY WAS FII	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04/11/2008</u> . A brief in condate of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allenon-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wilided below or appended.	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 13-69</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	ı condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Quoc A. Tran/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176	/Rachna S Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2176	
	<i></i>		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's Request for reconsideration Remarks filed 04/11/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The reason is set forth in the Final Office Action mailed 12/11/2007 and further view of the following:

Applicant argued that Chui would not achieved or rendered obviousness to combine with Coar, because Chiu and/or Coar do not disclose "user-selectable object being inserted into an electronic representation of a document, wherein selection of that user-selectable object allows a user to access a related portion of recorded information,"- See the Remarks Pages 18-20.

The examiner disagrees.

For purposes of responding to Applicant's argument, the examiner will assume that Applicant is arguing for the patentability of Claim 1.

As discusses in the previous Office Action dated 11/12/2007, Chui at Fig.1 and 5 discloses browser interface (item 300) for accessing a segment of video file (item 200) which references scanned document (item 101a), wherein browser interface 300 includes window (item 302) for viewing scanned documents (item 301 and 101a). This allows a user may double-click on the scanned document (item 301 or 101a) and browser interface (item 100a) will play in window (item 203) the corresponding recorded segment of event (item 50) referencing the scanned documents. Also the scanned documents (item 301 and 101a) may be represented by hyperlinked universal resource location ("URL") addresses, where the video may be resided- See Chiu at Fig. 1-5 and at Column 3, Line 45 through Column 4, Line 15.

Chiu does not expressly teach, "Generating a user selectable object...corresponding to the visual feature" However Coar discloses this limitation in that generating a machine readable symbol at the time which the paper document printed, wherein those machine readable symbol are programmatic interface to permit interaction with all portions of the package (i.e. the resulting electronic package file would then contain an image of the paper document and the index data in a form that permits easy extraction and transfer to another system- See Coar at Para 31), permit security access, edit, view, extraction, processing, and delivery of contents- See Coar at Para 23, 31, and 41-42.)

Accordingly it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Chiu's browser interface for accessing a segment of video file which references scanned document, to include a means of said generating a machine readable symbol at the time which the paper document printed, wherein those machine readable symbol are programmatic interface to permit interaction with all portions of the package (i.e. the resulting electronic package file would then contain an image of the paper document and the index data in a form that permits easy extraction and transfer to another system), permit security access, edit, view, extraction, in order to provide a user selectable objects referencing the scanned document and segments of selectable portion of the embedded video or URL directed to selectable portion of the embedded video See Chui at Colum 1 Line 65 through Column 2, Line 10 and at Fig. 1-5 and at Column 3, Line 45 through Column 4, Line 15.

Thus, Chiu and Coar disclose every limitation of Claim 1 and provide proper reasons to combine, as indicated herein and Office Action dated 12/11/2007.

Accordingly, the Examiner respectfully maintains the Final Rejection mailed 12/11/2007 at this time.

/Quoc A. Tran/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176